# SOUTH THAMES GATEWAY BUILDING CONTROL JOINT COMMITTEE 

21 MARCH 2013<br>\section*{MONITORING REPORT DECEMBER TO FEBRUARY}

Report from: Tony Van Veghel, Director, South Thames Gateway Building Control Partnership

## Summary <br> The report updates the Joint Committee on the current forecast for the 2012/13 financial year and gives details against the progress of the objectives of the Business Plan 2012/2017.

## 1. Budget and Policy Framework

1.1 The Joint Committee requires a preparation of monitoring reports to be reported to the Officer Steering Group and Joint Committee.

## 2 Executive Summary

2.1 This report gives a further overview of the position of the budget and the progression against the objectives shown in the Business Plan 2012/17. This following summarises the issues set out in detail later in the report: Building Regulation applications for the year remain approximately 11\% lower than last year and the resultant income is currently around 4.5\% lower than this time last year. However, given the additional income being generated through the consultancy and additional savings forecast in staffing and supplies and service we are still predicting a balanced budget at the end of year.
2.2 There have been fewer complex projects applied for this year and a reduction in the number of new dwellings this has had an impact on the number of SAP's, Sbem's and Code for Sustainable Homes applications through the consultancy. We have therefore focused on the internal market and in particular, the housing stock held by Gravesham and Medway. We are currently engaged on surveys for Energy Performance certificates for Gravesham and Decent Homes, scoping and stock condition surveys for Medway. In late February and through March we will also be carrying out fire risk assessments on the common areas of nearly 180 blocks of flats in Medway.
2.3 Discussions have been on going with Canterbury City Council regarding the potential of them considering joining the partnership as one of their options in a review of their building control service. Productive meetings have been held at senior officer level, with their consultant and at service manager level, all of which indicate advantages to the Partnership and Canterbury City Council.
2.4 Changes to the Building Regulations, and allied legislation were announced in December with the majority of changes being implemented on the $6^{\text {th }}$ April 2013. The technical changes to the Approved documents will require in house training for staff and an internal seminar for local architects and partners. The most significant change that will impact on the Partnership is the removal of the need for statutory notices at different stages of construction and the implementation of service plans for each project. This will require the partnership to draw up a service plan indicating the stages of construction that will require notification and in conjunction with the Charges legislation 2010 this will determine the charge for the work.
2.5 Members are advised that the Business Plan has now been agreed at each Council's Cabinet. Included in the Plan are the financial arrangements for contributions over the next four years which have been agreed to be entered into each authorities Financial plan showing an overall reduction of $17 \%$ over the life of the plan.
2.6 In order to deliver the Business Plan objectives it is important that the enhancements to the IT system are available through 2013/14 and onward. A revised specification has been written with the assistance of Medway's IT, to incorporate the necessary features that will allow the delivery of those objectives in accordance with the action plan timescales. The specification and tender documents were offered out for quotes from three suppliers and evaluation of the tender bids will be completed by second week in March. Through March and April staff and colleagues will be working with the new supplier to ensure a smooth transition to the new product. This will require full testing through May and an implementation date of $1^{\text {st }}$ June 2013.
2.7 Since 2008 the construction market has been shrinking. Whilst we have taken the opportunity to diversify into other fields through our consultancy work it is important that we maintain our excellent reputation in the Building regulation market. In order to maintain and improve our market share and to take advantage of the improvements that will come from our new IT offer with increased electronic submissions and increased use of the internet we are developing a new IT strategy which will be reported to Members under a separate report by December 2013.

## 3. Delivery Plan Update

3.1 Joint Committee met on 13 December 2012 and considered a number of reports including the Annual Audit and Inspection Letter, Business Plan and monitoring report up to November 2012.
3.2 The Business Plan 2012/17 had been refreshed to reflect the current situation with regard to the data and statistics supplied. The Plan was amended to address concerns raised by the Partnership's legal representatives at Gravesham regarding new EU Directive in respect of public services delivering products in direct competition with the private sector. There was also an alteration in respect of one of the objectives which was amended to reflect that alternative delivery models would be considered during the next four years. In this regard Swale Borough council have been asked to look at such alternatives and present a paper to Joint Committee considering different delivery models and their advantages and disadvantages to the Authorities and the Partnership. Discussions have been ongoing with senior officers, a consultant and the head of service at Canterbury City Council regarding their options appraisal for their building control service in line with the direction Members gave at Joint Committee.
3.3 The Business Plan has now progressed through each Council's Cabinet and has been approved so that the contributions element can now be included in their financial plan. Through March and April work will be underway with our new IT supplier to develop the system which will eventually deliver the objectives of mobile working, customer tracking of applications and self serve searches. There is a great deal of development needed together with data conversion, testing and training. All this needs to be carried out in tandem with continuing to deliver our first class services in both building control and the consultancy.
3.4 The number of building regulation applications remains around $11 \%$ lower than last year, and the income generated from these and commencements of new work is approximately $4.5 \%$ below the income at the end of February last year. Further savings have been made in the staffing budget and in supplies and services and together with additional income generated through the work for the housing sections in Gravesham and Medway as described in 3.5, we remain predicting a balanced budget at the end of year. Details of applications and fee income are shown in Appendix 1.
3.5 The Partnership has successfully been engaged to carry out surveys to produce Energy Performance Certificates for Council housing stock in Gravesham and Decent Homes, scoping and stock condition surveys for Medway Council. In addition to this work we are also carrying out 178 fire risk assessments in the communal areas of blocks of flats in Medway as we continue to develop the consultancy portfolio.

## 4. Use of Resources Overview

4.1 Given a reduction in the number of building regulation applications over the past six months we have been able to divert resources into the consultancy to meet the demand for the external market. Over the last three months we have also had the opportunity to expand the internal market by doing work for both Gravesham and Medway. This has coincided with the expected diminution of building control work around Christmas and the winter period. In order to ensure a fair distribution of workload, the operations manager has re-aligned the boundary between the East and West teams incorporating works attached to the Gillingham postcode to the East team. By making full use of the range of surveyors available we have been able to dedicate staff to this wide variety of work and still maintain cover to all services. In order to assist the operational staff we have provided additional duties to two of the Technical Assistant posts enabling them to carry out a monitoring role regarding unauthorised work, demolitions, dormant sites and work brought forward to check on progress. We are also continuing to train two other members of the Technical Administration Team to carry out SAP assessments.
4.2 The re-direction of surveyors into these various programmes has required a different approach to the duty officer arrangement. A member of the team, allocated the duty officer role, will respond to any enquiries that are made during the day so that an enquiry is dealt with within a number of hours and certainly by the end of the day. All calls are logged and their response time recorded.

## 5 Performance Risks / Emerging Issues

5.1 In order to maintain high levels of delivery across all services there will be pressure on the target times indicated in the benchmarking statistics. Setting up appointments for the various surveys within the housing projects is extremely time consuming and often reliant on out of date information which has and will continue to impact heavily on the technical assistant targets for registration of plans, search enquiries, sending out completion certificates etc. On the surveyor's side, plan vetting times and clearance of conditions etc. is likely to be impacted on but targets that were re-aligned during the year will be achieved.
5.2 A lack of development in the new IT system would prevent a number of the objectives from the Business Plan being delivered..

## 6 New Ways of Working and Value for Money

6.1 Development of the new IT system will deliver a number of changes to the way services are delivered. There will be more customer self service, an easier route to make applications on line and a fundamental change to service delivery via mobile working. This will not only increase output per surveyor, increasing the number of site visits available during the day but
will also cut down travelling costs and, in time, accommodation costs as less office space will be required as surveyors will be able to input data onsite, at a satellite office or through home working.
6.2 A concerted effort to recover debt has resulted in a dramatic fall in the amount of 'aged' debt. This years figure is expected to be around $£ 486.90$ against an end of year figure last year of $£ 7,238.25$. A much more stringent process has been put in place to ensure debtors are pursued and the debt recovered.
6.3 Medway has introduced a new process to monitor outstanding debt and this will now require the Director to have delegated power from Joint Committee to sign off the Partnership's unrecoverable debt. Examples of when this may occur are when companies or individuals go into liquidation, receivership or bankruptcy.
6.4 Members are requested to approve writing off the amounts which have already been accounted for in the budget spend. Members are also requested to agree future write offs can be carried out under delegated powers, by the Director, provided they are agreed with the Finance Officer and contained within the provision within the budget.

## 7 Workforce Development

7.1 PDR discussions have commenced with staff to align their training requirements for 2013/14 with the objectives and actions agreed through the business plan. The PDR process is on track for all discussions to have taken place and paperwork recorded by the end of March.
7.2 A number of seminars are being held through February and March on the changes to the Building Regulations. Most of the changes will occur on $6^{\text {th }}$ April and there will be the opportunity to hold in house CPD seminars for staff and our customers in March and April.

8 Data Quality
8.1 A management review meeting has been arranged for March to review procedures and processes described in the quality manual. Minor nonconformities identified during both internal and external audits will be examined and a programme of alterations discussed and agreed. Revised reports for covalent (Medway's performance management system) have been uploaded in February in preparation for monitoring the 2013/14 Business Plan objectives.

## 9 Benchmarking

9.1 Details of the benchmarking statistics are shown in Appendix 3. As mentioned above resources from both the operation and technical administration teams have been diverted through the winter period to deliver the additional work in the consultancy and to concentrate on enforcement. This will inevitably have an affect on delivering the targets in
the benchmarking table. However in preparation for this the targets for plan vetting and and decisions was adjusted earlier in the year and reported to Joint committee. The targets for application processing will be relaxed in tandem with this but should show no detriment to customers' turnaround times. Where targets monitor services that generate an income, such as 'searches', they will remain a priority throughout this period.

## 10 Financial Implications

10.1 The budget monitoring process has identified a balanced budget at the end of the financial year but this will be dependant on income generated through building regulation charges, and consultancy income meeting expectations.
10.2 Members are asked to agree the writing off of unrecoverable debt which is already provided for in this years budget.

## 11 Legal Implications

11.1 Members are asked to agree the delegated power of writing off unrecoverable debt is given to the Director of STG provided the amount is covered in the budget's bad debt provision.

## 12 Risk Management

12.1 There is always the possibility that income in March will be below expectation, in which case a call on additional contributions from each of the partner authorities would be required.

## 13 Recommendation

13.1 Members are asked to note the contents of the report and agree the delegation for writing off unrecoverable debt, provided it is covered by the budgets bad debt provision.

## 14 Suggested Reason for Decision

14.1 The Constitution requires Joint Committee to maintain a monitoring role on the progress of the Partnership and has the power to delegate certain responsibilities to the Director of the Partnership.

## Lead officer contact

Tony Van Veghel, Director, South Thames Gateway Building Control Partnership, Compass Centre, Chatham Maritime, Kent, ME4 4YH
Tel: 01634331552
E-mail: tony.vanveghel@stgbc.org.uk

## Background papers

None
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Appendix 2
Appendix 3 February Monitoring Report
Benchmarking data

## Appendix 1

## Comparison on Income and Applications Received

Fee Earning Applications Received against Fee Income
2010/11 to 2012/13
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| Subjective | Subjective Description | Current Year Total Budget | Total Actuals and Commitments Year to Date | Manager's Forecast | Manager's Variance |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 048-00/000000 | Admin Staff | 1,065,590 | 941,017 | 1,025,677 | $(39,913)$ |
| 071-00/000000 | Prem Retire Added Lump Sum | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 080-02/000000 | VDU/Eye Tests | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 080-08/000000 | Medical Referals | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 093-01/000000 | Clothing Allowance | 2,000 | 345 | 500 | $(1,500)$ |
| 093-02/000000 | Call Out Fees | 9,819 | 10,659 | 12,000 | 2,181 |
| 095-00/000000 | Employee Related Insurance | 1,601 | 1,601 | 1,601 | 0 |
| 096-00/000000 | Staff Training | 7,000 | 1,749 | 2,000 | $(5,000)$ |
| 096-00/700606 | Staff Traning STG Consultancy | 7,000 | 2,245 | 2,500 | $(4,500)$ |
| Subtotal for staffing |  | 1,093,010 | 957,615 | 1,044,278 | $(48,732)$ |
| 110-00/000000 | Repairs Maint Buildings Gen | 3,500 | 0 | 3,500 | 0 |
| 122-00/000000 | Electricity | 5,500 | 0 | 5,500 | 0 |
| 123-00/000000 | Gas | 3,500 | 0 | 3,500 | 0 |
| 131-00/000000 | Rents External | 55,282 | 0 | 55,282 | 0 |
| 135-00/000000 | Non Domestic Rates | 25,000 | 0 | 22,000 | $(3,000)$ |
| 141-00/000000 | Water \& Sewerage Charges | 2,000 | 0 | 600 | $(1,400)$ |
| 162-00/000000 | Contract Cleaning | 6,000 | 4,538 | 6,000 | 0 |
| 162-03/000000 | Window Cleaning | 700 | 0 | 600 | (100) |
| 162-05/000000 | Trade Refuse | 164 | 130 | 400 | 236 |
| 180-00/000000 | Premises Security | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 185-00/000000 | Premises Insurance | 700 | 0 | 700 | 0 |
| Subtotal for premises |  | 102,346 | 4,668 | 98,082 | $(4,264)$ |
| 216-00/000000 | Vehicle Insurance | 330 | 330 | 330 | 0 |
| 240-00/000000 | Public Trans | 500 | 386 | 500 | 0 |
| 241-00/000000 | Park Fees | 250 | 78 | 100 | (150) |
| 252-00/000000 | Staff travel -Leased Mileage | 9,000 | 5,349 | 7,000 | $(2,000)$ |
| 253-00/000000 | Casual User | 500 | 56 | 250 | (250) |
| 254-00/000000 | Essential User | 38,000 | 32,886 | 36,000 | $(2,000)$ |
| Subtotal for transport |  | 48,580 | 39,084 | 44,180 | $(4,400)$ |
| 311-00/000000 | Equip/Furn/Mats | 1,500 | 164 | 164 | $(1,336)$ |
| 311-04/000000 | Equip Rental Leases Licences | 5,900 | 2,211 | 2,500 | $(3,400)$ |
| 311-05/000000 | Equip Annual Maintenance | 0 | 2,405 | 2,500 | 2,500 |
| 314-00/000000 | Books/Pubs/News0 | 2,500 | 2,396 | 2,500 | 0 |
| 317-04/000000 | Bottled Water Coolers | 400 | 196 | 250 | (150) |
| 319-00/000000 | Refreshments at Meetings | 800 | 110 | 110 | (690) |
| 340-00/000000 | Printing Stat \& Gen Off Exps | 0 | 168 | 180 | 180 |
| 340-01/000000 | Printing | 2,000 | 2,250 | 2,500 | 500 |
| 340-01/700606 | ConsultancyPublic Protect | 2,000 | 750 | 1,000 | $(1,000)$ |
| 340-01/700607 | Printing Public Protect | 500 | 0 | 0 | (500) |
| 340-02/000000 | Stationery | 3,200 | 3,216 | 4,000 | 800 |
| 340-02/700606 | Stationery STG Consultancy | 1,500 | 55 | 200 | $(1,300)$ |
| 340-02/700607 | Stationery Public Protect | 500 | 0 | 0 | (500) |
| 340-03/000000 | General Office Expenses | 500 | 51 | 51 | (449) |
| 340-07/000000 | Microfilming | 500 | 0 | 0 | (500) |
| 342-00/000000 | External Audit Fees | 2,712 | 0 | 2,712 | 0 |
| 352-10/000000 | Agency Staff Security | 1,400 | 807 | 1,200 | (200) |
| 353-00/000000 | Consultants Fees | 6,000 | 3,976 | 5,000 | $(1,000)$ |
| 353-00/700602 | Consultants Fees Non Chargeabl | 0 | 2,292 | 3,000 | 3,000 |
| 353-00/700605 | Consult Fees Part P Electri | 1,000 | 5,460 | 10,000 | 9,000 |
| 353-00/700606 | Consultant FeesSTG Consultancy | 6,000 | 7,541 | 11,000 | 5,000 |
| 353-00/700607 | Consultancy Public Protect | 1,000 | 0 | 0 | $(1,000)$ |
| 354-00/000000 | Consultants - HR Approved | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |


| Subjective | Subjective Description | Current Year Total Budget | Total Actuals and Commitments Year to Date | Manager's Forecast | Manager's Variance |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 358-04/000000 | Land Registry Fees | 1,000 | 295 | 350 | (650) |
| 361-03/000000 | Pager Mobile Phone | 1,400 | 1,609 | 1,800 | 400 |
| 361-06/000000 | Call Costs | 130 | 86 | 130 | 0 |
| 361-07/000000 | Line Rental | 270 | 187 | 270 | 0 |
| 363-00/000000 | Postage | 7,000 | 6,693 | 7,000 | 0 |
| 363-00/700606 | PostageSTG Consultancy | 3,000 | 472 | 1,000 | $(2,000)$ |
| 364-01/000000 | Computer Hardware | 2,000 | 1,373 | 1,373 | (627) |
| 364-02/000000 | Computer Software | 25,200 | 23,337 | 24,180 | $(1,020)$ |
| 372-00/000000 | Subsistence | 2,000 | 201 | 201 | $(1,799)$ |
| 379-00/000000 | Subscriptions | 7,000 | 7,096 | 8,000 | 1,000 |
| 379-00/700606 | StationeryPublic Protect | 2,000 | 190 | 250 | $(1,750)$ |
| 391-01/000000 | All Risks Insurance | 1,030 | 1,030 | 1,030 | 0 |
| 391-02/000000 | Officials Indemnity Insurance | 500 | 0 | 500 | 0 |
| 392-00/000000 | Publicity | 7,500 | 226 | 226 | $(7,274)$ |
| 392-00/700606 | Publicity STG Consultancy | 2,000 | 443 | 443 | $(1,557)$ |
| 392-00/700607 | Publicity Public Protect | 500 | 148 | 148 | (352) |
| 393-00/000000 | Miscellaneous Expenses | 0 | 253 | 253 | 253 |
| 394-00/000000 | Advertising | 500 | 0 | 0 | (500) |
| 396-05/000000 | Contribution to I.T. Reserves | 2,000 | 0 | 2,000 | 0 |
| 398-03/000000 | Contributions to Bad Debt Prov | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Subtotal for supplies and services |  | 104,942 | 77,683 | 98,021 | $(6,921)$ |
| 330-33/000000 | Fin Mgmt SLA | 8,100 | 8,100 | 8,100 | 0 |
| 330-36/000000 | Exchequer SLA | 5,200 | 5,200 | 5,200 | 0 |
| 330-41/000000 | HR SLA | 3,416 | 3,416 | 3,416 | 0 |
| 330-42/000000 | Org Dev SLA | 2,534 | 2,534 | 2,534 | 0 |
| 330-43/000000 | Adv \& Cons SLA | 954 | 954 | 954 | 0 |
| 330-44/000000 | HR Ops SLA | 742 | 742 | 742 | 0 |
| 330-46/000000 | HR Resource SLA | 962 | 962 | 962 | 0 |
| 330-47/000000 | H\&S SLA | 1,002 | 1,002 | 1,002 | 0 |
| 330-48/000000 | IT Comp SLA | 25,200 | 25,200 | 25,200 | 0 |
| 330-53/000000 | Legal SLA | 10,100 | 0 | 10,100 | 0 |
| Subtotal for support services |  | 58,210 | 48,110 | 58,210 | 0 |
| 865-00/000000 | Conts from OLAs | $(351,772)$ | $(351,772)$ | $(351,772)$ | 0 |
| Subtotal for grant / DOH income |  | $(351,772)$ | $(351,772)$ | $(351,772)$ | 0 |
| 950-00/000000 | Fees \& Charges General | $(4,500)$ | $(6,179)$ | $(6,500)$ | $(2,000)$ |
| 950-25/000000 | Land Charges Fees | $(25,000)$ | $(10,635)$ | $(26,000)$ | $(1,000)$ |
| 959-00/000000 | Building Control Fees | $(992,552)$ | $(732,963)$ | $(787,640)$ | 204,912 |
| 959-00/700606 | BldCtFeeConsultSTG Consultancy | $(50,000)$ | $(91,042)$ | $(102,000)$ | $(52,000)$ |
| 959-05/000000 | Bldg Ctrl Regularisation | $(30,000)$ | $(52,304)$ | $(58,000)$ | $(28,000)$ |
| 959-11/000000 | Bldg Cont Fees Part P | 0 | $(5,367)$ | $(5,367)$ | $(5,367)$ |
| 959-11/700605 | BldCtFees PartPPart P Electric | - | $(5,073)$ | $(5,073)$ | $(5,073)$ |
| 967-01/000000 | Advertising Income | , | (352) | (352) | (352) |
| 968-00/000000 | Miscellaneous Receipts | 0 | (67) | (67) | (67) |
| Subtotal for other income |  | $(1,102,052)$ | $(903,982)$ | $(990,999)$ | 111,053 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TOTAL EXPENDITURE |  | 1,407,088 | 1,127,160 | 1,342,771 | $(64,317)$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TOTAL INCOME |  | (1,453,824) | (1,255,754) | $(1,342,771)$ | 111,053 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TOTAL RECHARGES |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TOTAL NET |  | $(46,736)$ | $(128,594)$ | 0 | 46,736 |

## Appendix 3

## Benchmarking Data

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |


| Cummulative | 94.99 | 78.94 | 100.00 | 52.64 | 99.59 | 94.69 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 91.07 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

* unable to provide data until 8 weeks following quarter end

